

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,728	SYMES, DOMINIC HUGO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Huisman	2183	

All Participants:

(1) David J. Huisman.

(2) Stanley Spooner, Reg. No. 27,393.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 14 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner had telephoned the attorney of record to propose an examiner's amendment to fix 101 issues with claims 14 and 15. Specifically, claims 14 and 15 do not produce a tangible result and so adding language regarding storing the output data word was discussed. Applicant eventually agreed to the proposed amendment. Subsequent to the conversation, however, the examiner became aware of two instruction sets which read on the claims. Due to time constraints, the examiner did not feel that there was sufficient time to propose and discuss further examiner's amendments. Consequently, an Office Action has been written and allowable subject matter has been indicated. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.